SUMMARY REPORT 1 2011/12: CRIME/INCIDENT DATA AUDIT REPORT

Report ref	Date	Report received	Outcome	My notes; issues & questions arising
01/2011	June 2011	Burglary	**NSIR 89.2% (Fair)** **NCRS 97.3% (Excellent)**	6/74 (10.8%) incident records incorrectly not crimed – is this corrected in the records? 6/74 (10.8%) incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred **Has a potential impact on BTPA's charging model?**[1] Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred • Opening/closing codes incorrect - LN LN origin of high proportion of errors – check against other audit reports
02/2011	June 2011	Robbery	**NSIR 89% (Good? Fair**[2] **)** **NCRS 100% (Excellent)**	6/73 (8.2%) incident records incorrectly not crimed – is this corrected in the records? Has a potential impact on BTPA's charging model 2/73 (2.7%) incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred **Has a potential impact on BTPA's charging model?** Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred • Opening/closing codes incorrect

[1] BTPA charging model uses crime not incident data as a proxy by which charges are allocated – unless errors in records are unevenly spread across all BTP Areas – which these reports suggests they may not be – this may have implications for the accuracy of proxies in model

[2] Think report wrongly grades this result as 'good' when it should be 'fair'

Report ref	Date	Report received	Outcome	My notes; issues & questions arising
03/2011	June 2011	Theft	**NSIR 75% (Poor)** **NCRS 100% (Excellent)**	1/80 (1.3%) incident records incorrectly not crimed 19/80 (24%) of incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred – LS & NE • Opening/closing codes incorrect
04/2011	June 2011	Hate Crime	**NSIR 77.4% (Poor)** **NCRS 98.8% (Excellent)**	1/63 (1.6%) incident records incorrectly not crimed 9/63 (14%) of incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred – LS & NE • Opening/closing codes incorrect LN origin of high proportion of errors – see also burglary audit report
05/2011	July 2011	Vehicle Crime	**NSIR 34.7% (Poor)** **NCRS 94% (Good)**	6/75 (8%) incident records incorrectly not crimed 21/75 (28%) of incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred – LS & NE • Opening/closing codes incorrect Generally a bad result for this crime/incident type – given the volume of this crime in this and previous years (2500-3000 appx) Relatively high error rate for classification of this crime type by CRC (5/75)

Report ref	Date	Report received	Outcome	My notes; issues & questions arising
06/2011	July 2011	Violent Crime	**NSIR 44% (Poor)** **NCRS 94% (Good)**	11/84 (13%) incident records incorrectly not crimed 25/84 (30%) of incident records insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred Areas for improvement: • Insufficient info to determine if crime has occurred – LS & NE • Opening/closing codes incorrect Generally a bad result for this crime/incident type – given the severity and impact on the victim of this type of crime – an issue with failure to make further enquiries in order to correctly close incident logs is identified Relatively high error rate for classification of this crime type by CRC (4/84)

Other observations:

- It might be worth exploring what 'incident records not correctly closed' means – there are some high numbers across all crime types.