BTPA Initial Equality Impact Assessment – Sickness Absence Policy

Name of policy to be assessed	Sickness Absence Policy	Date of assessment	30 March 2011
Names of assessment team members	Lucy Barrick Sam Elvy	Is this a new or existing policy?	New
Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy	 The Sickness Absence Policy covers three main principles: The development of an integrated and positive approach, which wellbeing of British Transport Police Authority employees. A positive and proactive approach to sickness management the procedures with clear expectations of good attendance. Robust procedures for authorising and recording sickness all identification of welfare needs. The purpose of the policy is to manage sickness proactively to try and are unwell. The policy also ensures sickness is treated consistently across 	hat combines consistent osences, ensuring cons keep lost days to minima	t, supportive and equitable istency, fairness and the early
2. Are there any associated objectives of the policy? Please explain.	 Keep the number of days lost to sickness to a minimum Allow flexible arrangements as required for those returning to wo 	rk from illness to aid thei	ir return
3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way?	Both the organisation through a reduction in lost days and the staff by th	e supportive approach ta	aken.

4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy?	 Sick days to be kept to a minimum Staff to be supported through any illness A consistent approach across the organisation 			
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?	 If line managers interpret the policy differently and use their discretion to create inconsistency If staff do not follow the policy because they do not understand it or do not agree with it. 			
6. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy	BTPA Executive Team		7. Who implements the policy and who is responsible for the policy?	Business Manager
8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact on racial groups?	Y/N	Please explain	The policy is not currer accessible to non-Engl	ntly available in alternative languages so may not be lish readers.
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	No evidence gathered	to date but presume it co	uld be an issue.	
9. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to gender?	Y/N	Please explain		
What existing evidence (either	N/A		1	

presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			
10. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to disability?	Y/N	Please explain	The Policy is not currently available in other formats so may not be accessible to people with visual impairments/learning difficulties. The policy ensures that disability related sickness is not counted towards unsatisfactory attendance.
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	No evidence gathered	to date but presume it co	uld be an issue.
11. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to sexual orientation?	Y/N	Please explain	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	N/A		
12. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to age?	Y/N	Please explain	
What existing evidence (either	N/A		

otherwise) do you have for this? 13. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	presumed or			
have for this? 13. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				
13. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				
concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				
policy could have differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				
differential impact due to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there		\//NI	Dia a a a a sur la la	
to religious belief? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? N/A		Y/N	Please explain	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
otherwise) do you have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
have for this? 14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
14. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	have for this?			
concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
policy could have differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	14. Are there			
differential impact due to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	concerns that the			
to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	policy <u>could</u> have			
to people having dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	differential impact due	Y/N	Please explain	
dependents/caring responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there			·	
responsibilities? What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there	dependents/caring			
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				
evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there		N/A	•	
presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
otherwise) do you have for this? 15. Are there				
have for this? 15. Are there				
	15. Are there			
concerns that the	concerns that the			
policy could have				
differential impact due Y/ N Please explain		Y/N	Please explain	
to people having an		,		
offending past?				

What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you	N/A		
have for this? 16. Are there concerns that the policy could have differential impact due to people being transgendered or transexual?	Y/N	Please explain	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	N/A		
17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 above amount to there being the potential for adverse impact for this policy?	Y/N	Please explain	Not offering the policy in alternative languages/formats could potentially exclude users who do not read in English or who have visual impairments/learning difficulties.
18. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason?	Y/N	Please explain for each equality heading separately Reasonable adjustments can be made to make the policy available in alternative languages /formats	

IEIA Sickness Absence Policy

19. Should the policy proceed to a full	Y/N	20. If yes, is there enough evidence to proceed to a full EIA? Y/N
impact assessment		21. If no, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda?
		Add a note to the policy to say that it can be made available in alternative languages/formats on request